

**RESOLUTION NO. 2020-
A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE
COUNTY OF SAN BENITO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
MAKING FINDINGS REGARDING THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE WHICH WOULD
AMEND THE COUNTY OF SAN BENITO'S SIGN ORDINANCE,
CHAPTER ARTICLE III OF CHAPTER 25.29**

WHEREAS, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the proposed ordinance is covered by the General Rule 15061(b)(3) that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA;

WHEREAS, the proposed ordinance makes minor changes to the County's sign ordinance as a result of the Supreme Court case, Reed v. Town of Gilbert.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has determined that the proposed ordinance is categorically exempt pursuant to CEQA Guidelines sections 15060, subdivision (c)(2) (the activity will not result in a direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment) and 15061, subdivision (b)(3) (there is no possibility the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment); and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has considered all public testimony and information presented during the public hearing regarding this item.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Benito as follows:

Section 1. Based on the review and determination of the Resources Management Agency, the Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the proposed ordinance is consistent with the General Plan.

Section 2. Based on the review and determination of the Resources Management Agency, the Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Project is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act as set forth above.

Section 3. Based upon all information before it, the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Benito hereby finds that the proposed ordinances serve the public necessity, convenience and general welfare, and are good zoning practice.

Section 4. The RMA Director is hereby directed to the Notice of Exemption for adoption of this Ordinance with the County Clerk.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the San Benito County Board of Supervisors at the meeting of said Board held on the 4th day of February 2020, by the following vote:

AYES: Supervisor(s)

NOES: Supervisor(s)

ABSENT: Supervisor(s)

ABSTAIN: Supervisor(s)

By: _____
Jaime De La Cruz, Chair

ATTEST:
Janet Slibsager, Clerk of the Board

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
San Benito County Counsel's Office

By: _____

By: _____
Barbara Thompson, County Counsel

Date: _____

Date: _____